



Folding nucleus and unfolding dynamics of protein 2GB1

Xuefeng Wei(韦学锋) and Yanting Wang(王延颢)

Citation: Chin. Phys. B, 2021, 30 (2): 028703. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/abbbfa

Journal homepage: <http://cpb.iphy.ac.cn>; <http://iopscience.iop.org/cpb>

What follows is a list of articles you may be interested in

Statistical potentials for 3D structure evaluation: From proteins to RNAs

Ya-Lan Tan(谭雅岚), Chen-Jie Feng(封晨洁), Xunxun Wang(王勋勋), Wenbing Zhang(张文炳), and Zhi-Jie Tan(谭志杰)

Chin. Phys. B, 2021, 30 (2): 028705. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/abc0d6

Application of topological soliton in modeling protein folding: Recent progress and perspective

Xu-Biao Peng(彭绪彪)[†], Jiao-Jiao Liu(刘娇娇), Jin Dai(戴劲), Antti J Niemi[‡], and Jian-Feng He(何建锋)[§]

Chin. Phys. B, 2020, 29 (10): 108705. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/abaed9

Different potential of mean force of two-state protein GB1 and downhill protein gpW revealed by molecular dynamics simulation

Xiaofeng Zhang(张晓峰), Zilong Guo(郭子龙), Ping Yu(余平), Qiushi Li(李秋实), Xin Zhou(周昕), Hu Chen(陈虎)

Chin. Phys. B, 2020, 29 (7): 078701. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/ab8daf

Quantum intelligence on protein folding pathways

Wen-Wen Mao(毛雯雯), Li-Hua Lv(吕丽花), Yong-Yun Ji(季永运), You-Quan Li(李有泉)

Chin. Phys. B, 2020, 29 (1): 018702. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/ab5fbe

A network of conformational transitions in an unfolding process of HP-35 revealed by high-temperature MD simulation and a Markov state model

Dandan Shao(邵丹丹), Kaifu Gao(高愷夫)

Chin. Phys. B, 2018, 27 (1): 018701. DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/27/1/018701

CPB
— CHINESE PHYSICAL SOCIETY —

CPB


Chinese Physics B

Volume 30 February 2021 Number 2

SPECIAL TOPIC

- Quantum computation and quantum simulation
- Modeling and simulations for the structures and functions of proteins and nucleic acids

A series Journal of the Chinese Physical Society Distributed by IOP Publishing

iopscience.org/cpb | cpb.iphy.ac.cn**Featured Article**Evolution of domain structure in Fe_3GeTe_2

Siqi Yin, Le Zhao, Cheng Song, Yuan Huang, Youdi Gu, Ruyi Chen, Wenxuan Zhu, Yiming Sun,

Wanjuan Jiang, Xiaozhong Zhang, Feng Pan

Chin. Phys. B, 2021, 30 (2): 027505

Chinese Physics B (中国物理 B)

Published monthly in hard copy by the Chinese Physical Society and online by IOP Publishing, Temple Circus, Temple Way, Bristol BS1 6HG, UK

Institutional subscription information: 2021 volume

For all countries, except the United States, Canada and Central and South America, the subscription rate per annual volume is UK£1397 (electronic only) or UK£1523 (print + electronic).

Delivery is by air-speeded mail from the United Kingdom.

Orders to:

Journals Subscription Fulfilment, IOP Publishing, Temple Circus, Temple Way, Bristol BS1 6HG, UK

For the United States, Canada and Central and South America, the subscription rate per annual volume is US\$2780 (electronic only) or US\$3025 (print + electronic). Delivery is by transatlantic airfreight and onward mailing.

Orders to: IOP Publishing, P. O. Box 320, Congers, NY 10920-0320, USA

© 2021 Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Supported by the China Association for Science and Technology and Chinese Academy of Sciences

Editorial Office: Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 603, Beijing 100190, China
Tel: (86-10) 82649026 or 82649519, Fax: (86-10) 82649027, E-mail: cpb@aphy.iphy.ac.cn

主管单位: 中国科学院

国际统一刊号: ISSN 1674-1056

主办单位: 中国物理学会和中国科学院物理研究所

国内统一刊号: CN 11-5639/O4

主 编: 欧阳钟灿

编辑部地址: 北京 中关村 中国科学院物理研究所内

出 版: 中国物理学会

通 讯 地 址: 100190 北京 603 信箱

印刷装订: 北京科信印刷有限公司

电 话: (010) 82649026, 82649519

编 辑: Chinese Physics B 编辑部

传 真: (010) 82649027

国内发行: Chinese Physics B 出版发行部

“Chinese Physics B”网址:

国外发行: IOP Publishing Ltd

<http://cpb.iphy.ac.cn>

发行范围: 公开发行

<http://iopscience.iop.org/journal/1674-1056>

Published by the Chinese Physical Society

Advisory Board

Prof. Academician Chen Jia-Er(陈佳洱)

School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Prof. Academician T. D. Lee(李政道)

Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Prof. Academician Samuel C. C. Ting(丁肇中)

LEP3, CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

Prof. Academician C. N. Yang(杨振宁)

Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, USA

Prof. Academician Yang Fu-Jia(杨福家)

Department of Nuclear Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Prof. Academician Zhou Guang-Zhao
(Chou Kuang-Chao)(周光召)

China Association for Science and Technology, Beijing 100863, China

Prof. Academician Wang Nai-Yan(王乃彦)

China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China

Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Academician Ouyang Zhong-Can(欧阳钟灿)

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

Associate Editors

- Prof. Academician Zhao Zhong-Xian(赵忠贤) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Academician Yang Guo-Zhen(杨国桢) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Academician Zhang Jie(张杰) Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China
Prof. Academician Xing Ding-Yu(邢定钰) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
Prof. Academician Shen Bao-Gen(沈保根) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Academician Gong Qi-Huang(龚旗煌) School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Prof. Academician Xue Qi-Kun(薛其坤) Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
Prof. Sheng Ping(沈平) The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

Editorial Board

- Prof. David Andelman School of Physics and Astronomy Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
Prof. Academician Chen Xian-Hui(陈仙辉) Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
Prof. Cheng Jian-Chun(程建春) School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
Prof. Chia-Ling Chien Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
Prof. Dai Xi(戴希) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Ding Jun(丁军) Department of Materials Science & Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117576, Singapore
Prof. Masao Doi Toyota Physical and Chemical Research Institute, Yokomichi, Nagakute, Aichi 480-1192, Japan
Prof. Fang Zhong(方忠) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Feng Shi-Ping(冯世平) Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
Prof. Academician Gao Hong-Jun(高鸿钧) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Gu Chang-Zhi(顾长志) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Gu Min(顾敏) School of Optical-Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China
Prof. Academician Guo Guang-Can(郭光灿) School of Physical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
Prof. Academician He Xian-Tu(贺贤士) Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100088, China
Prof. Werner A. Hofer Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK
Prof. Hong Ming-Hui(洪明辉) Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117576, Singapore
Prof. Hu Gang(胡岗) Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
Prof. Jiang Hong-Wen(姜弘文) Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
Prof. Jiang Ying(江颖) School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Prof. Jin Xiao-Feng(金晓峰) Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
Prof. Robert J. Joynt Physics Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, USA
Prof. Jaewan Kim Korea Institute for Advanced Study, School of Computational Sciences, Hoegiro 85, Seoul 02455, Korea
Prof. Li Ru-Xin(李儒新) Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China
Prof. Li Xiao-Guang(李晓光) Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
Assits. Prof. Liu Chao-Xing(刘朝星) Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, PA 16802-6300, USA
Prof. Liu Xiang-Yang(刘向阳) Department of Physics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China
Prof. Liu Ying(刘荧) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
Prof. Long Gui-Lu(龙桂鲁) Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
Prof. Lv Li(吕力) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Ma Xu-Cun(马旭村) Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
Prof. Antonio H. Castro Neto Physics Department, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117546, Singapore
Prof. Nie Yu-Xin(聂玉昕) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Prof. Niu Qian(牛谦) Department of Physics, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA
Prof. Academician Ouyang Qi(欧阳颀) School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

- Prof. Academician Pan Jian-Wei(潘建伟) Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
- Prof. Amalia Patane School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK
- Prof. Qian Lie-Jia(钱列加) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
- Prof. J. Y. Rhee Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
- Prof. Shen Jian(沈健) Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
- Prof. Shen Yuan-Rang(沈元壤) Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- Prof. Shen Zhi-Xun(沈志勋) Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4045, USA
- Prof. Academician Sun Chang-Pu(孙昌璞) Beijing Computational Science Research Center, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Beijing 100094, China
- Prof. Sun Xiao-Wei(孙小卫) Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China
- Prof. Sun Xiu-Dong(孙秀冬) Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China
- Prof. Michiyoshi Tanaka Research Institute for Scientific Measurements, Tohoku University, Katahira 2-1-1, Aoba-ku 980, Sendai, Japan
- Prof. Tong Li-Min(童利民) Department of Optical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
- Prof. Tong Penger(童彭尔) Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
- Prof. Wang Bo-Gen(王伯根) School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
- Prof. Wang Kai-You(王开友) Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China
- Prof. Wang Wei(王炜) School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
- Prof. Wang Ya-Yu(王亚愚) Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- Prof. Wang Yu-Peng(王玉鹏) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Wang Zhao-Zhong(王肇中) Laboratory for Photonics and Nanostructures (LPN) CNRS-UPR20, Route de Nozay, 91460 Marcoussis, France
- Prof. Academician Wang Wei-Hua(汪卫华) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Wei Su-Huai(魏苏淮) Beijing Computational Science Research Center, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Beijing 100094, China
- Prof. Wen Hai-Hu(闻海虎) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
- Prof. Wu Nan-Jian(吴南健) Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China
- Prof. Academician Xia Jian-Bai(夏建白) Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China
- Prof. Academician Xiang Tao(向涛) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Academician Xie Si-Shen(解思深) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Academician Xie Xin-Cheng(谢心澄) Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
- Prof. Academician Xu Zhi-Zhan(徐至展) Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China
- Assist. Prof. Xu Cen-Ke(许岑珂) Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
- Prof. Academician Ye Chao-Hui(叶朝辉) Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China
- Prof. Ye Jun(叶军) Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA
- Prof. Yu Ming-Yang(郁明阳) Theoretical Physics I, Ruhr University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
- Prof. Academician Zhan Wen-Long(詹文龙) Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China
- Prof. Zhang Fu-Chun(张富春) Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Zhang Xiang(张翔) NSF Nanoscale Science and Engineering Center (NSEC), University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- Prof. Zhang Yong(张勇) Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, USA
- Prof. Zhang Zhen-Yu(张振宇) International Center for Quantum Design of Functional Materials, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
- Prof. Zeng Hao(曾浩) Department of Physics, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA
- Prof. Zheng Bo(郑波) Physics Department, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
- Prof. Zhou Xing-Jiang(周兴江) Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- Prof. Academician Zhu Bang-Fen(朱邦芬) Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Editorial Staff

Wang Jiu-Li(王久丽) (Editorial Director) Cai Jian-Wei(蔡建伟) Zhai Zhen(翟振)

Folding nucleus and unfolding dynamics of protein 2GB1*

Xuefeng Wei(韦学锋)^{1,2} and Yanting Wang(王延颢)^{1,2,†}¹CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

(Received 30 July 2020; revised manuscript received 14 September 2020; accepted manuscript online 28 September 2020)

The folding of many small proteins is kinetically a two-state process with one major free-energy barrier to overcome, which can be roughly regarded as the inverse process of unfolding. In this work, we first use a Gaussian network model to predict the folding nucleus corresponding to the major free-energy barrier of protein 2GB1, and find that the folding nucleus is located in the β -sheet domain. High-temperature molecular dynamics simulations are then used to investigate the unfolding process of 2GB1. We draw free-energy surface from unfolding simulations, taking RMSD and contact number as reaction coordinates, which confirms that the folding of 2GB1 is kinetically a two-state process. The comparison of the contact maps before and after the free energy barrier indicates that the transition from native to non-native structure of the protein is kinetically caused by the destruction of the β -sheet domain, which manifests that the folding nucleus is indeed located in the β -sheet domain. Moreover, the constrained MD simulation further confirms that the destruction of the secondary structures does not alter the topology of the protein retained by the folding nucleus. These results provide vital information for upcoming researchers to further understand protein folding in similar systems.

Keywords: protein folding, 2GB1, two-state model, folding nucleus**PACS:** 87.15.Cc, 87.15.A–, 87.15.ap, 87.15.Bg**DOI:** 10.1088/1674-1056/abbbfa

1. Introduction

Protein folding is a molecular process in which a polypeptide chain with a designated sequence of amino acid residues forms a compact and well-defined three-dimensional structure via self-assembly, which is an important and challenging problem in biophysics, statistical mechanics, and computer simulation.^[1] With the development of computational techniques, computer simulation of the protein folding process can reach a temporal scale as long as milliseconds for small proteins.^[2] Because protein is a complex asymmetric molecular system with complex interactions among different components, the folding process is determined not only by local interactions between neighboring amino acid residues along the chain, but also by global non-native contacts.^[3,4] Hundreds of experimental and computer simulation methods have been proposed to understand details of the underlying folding mechanism. However, it has been shown that the complete folding pathway of a single protein is extremely difficult to be experimentally determined,^[2] while conventional all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulation methods cost a huge amount of computing resource to simulate the folding process of even a very small protein with less than 100 amino acid residues,^[5–7] and it is currently impossible to directly simulate a little larger ones. Therefore, many accelerated simulation methods, such as the replica-exchange MD simulation method,^[8] have been applied to simulating protein folding processes.

On the other hand, many theoretical models, such as the Go model,^[9] have been proposed to describe and predict protein folding dynamics, but none of them can serve as a general theory explaining all aspects of protein folding phenomena.^[10–12] Nevertheless, most of these models rely on the concept of a funnel-shape multidimensional free-energy landscape,^[4,13,14] which conceptually describes the way proteins reliably fold into their energetically most favorable conformations. However, it is always difficult to depict the funnel-shape free energy landscape quantitatively, partially because the reaction coordinates of this funnel are unknown. The funnel-shape free energy landscape is rugged, so the protein has to overcome multiple barriers as well as to cross the intermediate state before reaching the thermodynamically stable native state. For some small proteins with a simple folding process, there is only one major energy barrier between the native and non-native states, and folding/unfolding roughly exhibits a two-state process. The sub-structure responsible for the transition of the whole protein on top of the free energy barrier is called “folding nucleus”. For such small proteins, we may regard unfolding as the reverse process of folding, so high-temperature unfolding MD simulation, which requires much shorter simulation time, can be used to study their folding pathway and folding nucleus.^[15–17]

Besides MD simulation techniques, Brooks *et al.*^[18] and Go *et al.*^[19] developed the normal mode analysis (NMA)

*Project supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA17010504) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11947302).

†Corresponding author. E-mail: wangyt@itp.ac.cn

method and successfully applied it to the protein-related research. The basic idea of the NMA method is first optimizing the protein structure based on an all-atom force field to obtain the equilibrium configuration of the system, then in the vicinity of the equilibrium configuration, the complex interactions between protein atoms are simplified to be harmonic potentials by ignoring higher-order terms, and finally the motion of the protein is decomposed into the superposition of normal motion modes. The NMA method does not require a long-time dynamic simulation to obtain the conformational properties of the system, which greatly reduces the computational cost. However, its accuracy is limited by the utilized classical all-atom force field and the result will be biased if the energy optimization is insufficient. On top of the NMA method, Bahar *et al.*^[20,21] proposed the Gaussian network model (GNM), which reduces each amino acid residue to be a bead, the interaction between residues is represented by springs, and all springs have the same strength. The vibration of the residue around the equilibrium position is regarded as an isotropic Gaussian motion.^[19,21] The GNM method focuses on global motions determined by slow modes because of their dominant role in controlling the collective dynamics of the protein, consistent with the experimentally measured hydrogen–deuterium exchange (HX) protection factor.^[22] In addition, the GNM can be used to identify the so-called kinetically hot residues resulting in the peaks in the high frequency modes, which are linked to protein folding nuclei.^[23]




Fig. 1. (a) Molecular structure of protein 2GB1 composed of four domains: seq A and seq C are hairpins, seq B is an α -helix, and Beta is a global β -sheet structure. (b) Contact map of the native structure. The red, orange, green, and blue ellipses highlight the regions corresponding to seq A, seq B, seq C, and Beta, respectively.

The small protein 2GB1 (one of the GB1 family, PDB ID: 2GB1) studied in this work has a thermodynamically very stable structure consisted of four domains: two β -hairpin structures denoted as seq A and seq C, respectively, an α -helix structure denoted as seq B, and a β -sheet structure denoted as Beta, as shown in Fig. 1(a). There are plenty of hydrogen bonds in each domain, and hydrophobic amino acid residues are buried inside both the α -helix and the β -sheet domain to form a hydrophobic core. The contact map is used to clearly describe each secondary structure in its native state. Two C^α atoms are identified as “connected” if their distance is less than 7.0 Å. In Fig. 1(b), different domains in the contact map

circled by red, orange, green, and blue ellipses correspond to seq A, seq B, seq C, and Beta sheet, respectively. The GNM method is used to identify the folding nucleus and pathway of 2GB1, and compared with the results of high-temperature unfolding MD. Both GNM and high-temperature MD indicate that the folding/unfolding of the protein is a two-state process, and the folding nucleus is located in the β -sheet region.

2. Methods

2.1. Gaussian network model

The GNM can be used to predict the protein folding nucleus, and the obtained results have a relatively high degree of agreement with experiments.^[22] The GNM method identifies the kinetically hot residues serving as the protein folding nucleus by finding the peak of the fast motion mode.^[23] The motion modes of a protein described by the GNM are entirely determined by the contact topology of the native configuration. Each amino acid residue is coarse-grained into a single bead whose position is set to be the coordinate of the C^α atom. It is assumed that a pair of beads are linked by an elastic spring if their distance is less than a certain cutoff r_c .

The GNM mathematically describes the dynamics of the protein structure by the Kirchhoff matrix, whose elements are defined as

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, & i \neq j, R_{ij} \leq r_c, \\ 0, & i \neq j, R_{ij} > r_c, \\ -\sum_{i,i \neq j} \Gamma_{ij}, & i = j, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where R_{ij} is the distance between the two points i and j , and $r_c = 7 \text{ \AA}$ in this work. The eigenvalues of the matrix reflect different motion modes. The low-frequency slow motion mode with a small eigenvalue corresponds to a large-scale collective motion, and quite often represents the functional motion of the system. By contrast, the high-frequency fast-motion mode with a large eigenvalue generally indicates the irregularity of the local structure of the system, quite often corresponding to the hot residues of the system.

2.2. Unfolding MD simulation

Due to the limitations of simulation time and computing resources, it is almost impossible to reproduce the folding process of proteins by conventional all-atom MD simulation, even for small proteins with less than 100 amino acid residues. Therefore, in this work, we investigate the folding nucleus and pathway of 2GB1 by performing the unfolding process at a high temperature. The native structure was used as the initial configuration for the unfolding MD simulation by using the GROMACS software package^[24] with a simulation timestep of 2 fs. The OPLS-AA force field^[25,26] was used to

model the protein and the TIP4P^[27] was used to model water molecules. The electrostatic interactions were treated with the particle-mesh-Ewald method,^[28,29] and both the cutoff distances of the van der Waals (VDW) interaction and the real part of the electrostatic interaction were set to be 1.2 nm. The periodic boundary condition was applied to all three dimensions and the unfolding simulation was conducted in a constant *NVT* ensemble at the temperature $T = 480$ K, which was kept a constant by the Nosé–Hoover thermostat^[30,31] with a coupling time of 0.2 ps.

2.3. Constrained MD

The complex potential energy surface of a protein has many energy barriers between the completely unfolded state and the native state. A conventional MD simulation cannot achieve the complete process of a fully stretched protein folding into its native structure. Therefore, we alternatively use the constrained MD to investigate the influence of the destruction of some local structures on the stability of the entire protein by constraining some parts of the protein in their native structures while the rest parts are free to become non-native. In the initial configuration, the whole protein retains its native structure except that a certain number of secondary structures deform, which is obtained by the following steps. First, each atom in its native position is fixed by a spring potential except those atoms in the domain to be destroyed. Then a regular MD simulation is performed in the *NVT* ensemble at 600 K for 10 ns to allow the unfixed domain lost its original secondary structure while the fixed domains kept their native structures. For instance, seq B is destroyed while other structures keep unchanged. The configuration with a certain secondary structure destroyed is then used as the initial configuration to further investigate the influence of different secondary structures on the stability of the entire protein. The system pressure is kept a constant of 1 atm by the Parrinello–Rahman barostat^[32] with a coupling time of 0.5 ps.

3. Results and discussion

The GNM method was first used to determine the folding nucleus of protein 2GB1. Because the GNM method claims that the folding nucleus is composed of the amino acid residues with large fluctuations, the normalized mean-squared fluctuations of different C^α atoms of 2GB1 have been calculated and are shown in Fig. 2(a), in which the initial five high-frequency normal modes were used. It can be seen that Y3, K4, L5, I6, A34, V39, T51, F52, and F53 atoms have large mean-squared fluctuations, indicating that they compose the folding nucleus. These atoms, all located in the Beta domain, are presented as color beads in the native structure shown in Fig. 2(b) to clearly demonstrate their atomic positions.

In order to further understand the unfolding process of the protein and verify the conclusion drawn by the GNM method, a high-temperature MD simulation was then performed to investigate the unfolding process and the folding nucleus of 2GB1. The RMSDs with respect to the native structure and the connectivity of C^α atoms were calculated for the simulated configurations. As shown in Fig. 3, the configurations generated by the unfolding simulation are projected on the space spanned by RMSD and contact number, whose plot is denoted as the RC map. The configurations are grouped into two parts in the RC map with the blank area in between, indicating a high energy barrier between the two parts, which manifests that the folding of 2GB1 is a two-state process, and there is a free energy barrier between the native state and the non-native state.




Fig. 2. (a) Normalized mean-squared fluctuations of C^α atoms. (b) Color beads representing atoms with large mean-squared fluctuations in (a).

The average contact maps of the two states separated by the energy barrier are drawn in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) to clearly show the conformational change after unfolding. It can be seen that all contact patterns remain the same except the Beta domain, in which the contact number is significantly reduced, indicating that the transformation from the native state to the non-native state occurs via the destruction of the Beta domain. The folding/unfolding pathway of protein 2GB1 can be deduced from the unfolding simulation trajectories as follows. The protein structure maintains its native conformation before the Beta domain is destroyed, after which the hydrophobic core formed by the Beta domain and seq B is also simultaneously destroyed, as shown in Fig. 4(c). Seq B becomes unstable, begins to swing, and finally loses the spiral structure. After that, seq A and seq C lose the hairpin structure, and eventually the entire protein structure is destroyed and behaves randomly, as shown in Fig. 4(d).




Fig. 3. RC maps spanned by RMSD and contact number of four independent high-temperature unfolding simulations, indicating that the unfolding process of protein 2GB1 is a two-state process.




Fig. 4. (a) and (b) Contact patterns before and after the energy barrier, respectively. (c) A random configuration illustrating that all parts keep unchanged except the folding nucleus, which is destroyed. (d) A random configuration illustrating that the hydrophobic core formed by seq B and Beta is destroyed.




Fig. 5. The initial structure with one secondary structure destroyed compared with the native state and the corresponding RMSD vs. simulation time of (a), (b) seq A; (c), (d) seq B; and (e), (f) seq C. The red and blue tubes in (a), (c), and (e) represent the backbones of the native and destroyed structures, respectively, and atoms in the destroyed domain are illustrated by ball and stick. The upper black lines in (b), (d), and (f) are the RMSD of the destroyed part with respect to the native structure and the lower red lines are the RMSD of all other parts of the protein with respect to the native structure.

Since Beta and seq B composing the hydrophobic core are destroyed simultaneously during the high temperature unfolding MD simulation, the constrained MD simulations were further performed to verify whether seq B is a part of the folding nucleus or not. We have destroyed one secondary structure at each time to serve as the initial configuration of a 200-ns MD simulation and the simulation results are shown in Fig. 5. In Figs. 5(a), 5(c), and 5(e), the red tube represents the native structure and the blue tube represents the initial configuration that has one secondary structure destroyed. The black lines in Figs. 5(b), 5(d), and 5(f) depict the RMSDs of the secondary structures after being destroyed with respect to the native secondary structure, and the red lines represent the RMSDs of the entire configurations except the destroyed structure with respect to its native structure. From these simulation results, it can be seen that, after the destruction of one secondary structure of seq A, seq B, or seq C, the rest of the protein structure remains almost unchanged. Figures 5(c) and 5(d) show that the destruction of seq B does not affect the structure of the Beta domain. During the 200-ns simulation time, the rest of the structure remains unchanged, indicating that seq B is not a part of the folding nucleus, and it is the hydrogen bonds in the Beta domain that stabilize the whole protein topology.

4. Conclusion

2GB1 is a small protein with a short sequence and good stability, which includes all typical secondary structures of a protein: two β -turn structures, one α -helix structure, and one β -sheet domain. It is a good model system for understanding some details of the protein folding dynamics. Because it is extremely difficult to study the folding nucleus and folding pathway using conventional MD simulation techniques even for such a small protein, accelerated simulation and advanced analysis methods are necessary. The GNM method indicates that the Beta domain of protein 2GB1 serves as the folding nucleus under the two-state folding theoretical framework, and multiple hydrogen bonds in the Beta domain stabilize the β -sheet structure. The high-temperature unfolding MD simulation results are exhibited on the RC map spanned by RMSD and contact number as reaction coordinates, which confirms that the protein unfolding process is indeed a two-state process. Dynamically, the top of the barrier corresponds to the formation of the folding nucleus structure. By comparing the conformations at the two sides of the barrier, we have found that the biggest change in the structure occurs in the Beta region, which is the folding nucleus of the protein. During the unfolding process, the hydrogen bonds in the Beta region are first opened, and the seq B structure then starts to swing and loses its spiral structure. After the hydrogen bonds in the hair-

pin structures of seq A and seq C are opened, the entire protein structure finally collapses into a random coil.

This work utilizes multiple methods to find the folding nucleus and folding pathway of protein 2GB1, which are helpful for understanding the mechanism of protein folding. For similar small protein systems, it may be possible to quickly identify folding nucleus and pathway using the methods applied in this work as well as the RC map developed by us.

Acknowledgement

The computations of this work were conducted on the HPC cluster of ITP-CAS and the Tianhe-2 supercomputer.

References

- [1] Dill K A and MacCallum J L 2012 *Science* **338** 1042
- [2] Lindorff-Larsen K, Piana S, Dror R O and Shaw D E 2011 *Science* **334** 517
- [3] Pande V S 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 198101
- [4] Dill K A 1985 *Biochemistry* **24** 1501
- [5] Kihara D and Skolnick J 2003 *Journal of Molecular Biology* **334** 793
- [6] Su M, Ling Y, Yu J, Wu J and Xiao J 2013 *Front. Genet.* **4** 286
- [7] Storz G, Wolf Y I and Ramamurthi K S 2014 *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **83** 753
- [8] Sugita Y and Okamoto Y 1999 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **314** 141
- [9] Hills R D, Jr. and Brooks C L, 3rd 2009 *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **10** 889
- [10] Pande V, Grosberg A and Tanaka T 2000 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72** 259
- [11] Sosnick T R and Barrick D 2011 *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **21** 12
- [12] Shea J E and Brooks C L, 3rd 2001 *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **52** 499
- [13] Leopold P E, Montal M and Onuchic J N 1992 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89** 8721
- [14] Bryngelson J D and Wolynes P G 1987 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **84** 7524
- [15] Alonso D O and Daggett V 1995 *Journal of Molecular Biology* **247** 501
- [16] Daggett V and Levitt M 1993 *Journal of Molecular Biology* **232** 600
- [17] Li A and Daggett V 1994 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **91** 10430
- [18] Brooks B and Karplus M 1983 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80** 6571
- [19] Go N, Noguti T and Nishikawa T 1983 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80** 3696
- [20] Bahar I, Atilgan A R and Erman B 1997 *Folding and Design* **2** 173
- [21] Haliloglu T, Bahar I and Erman B 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 3090
- [22] Bahar I, Wallqvist A, Covell D G and Jernigan R L 1998 *Biochemistry* **37** 1067
- [23] Rader A J and Bahar I 2004 *Polymer* **45** 659
- [24] Van Der Spoel D, Lindahl E, Hess B, Groenhof G, Mark A E and Berendsen H J 2005 *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **26** 1701
- [25] Jorgensen W L, Maxwell D S and Tirado-Rives J 1996 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **118** 11225
- [26] Jorgensen W L and Tirado-Rives J 2005 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102** 6665
- [27] Jorgensen W L, Chandrasekhar J, Madura J D, Impey R W and Klein M L 1983 *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **79** 926
- [28] Darden T, York D and Pedersen L 1993 *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **98** 10089
- [29] Essmann U, Perera L, Berkowitz M L, Darden T, Lee H and Pedersen L G 1995 *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **103** 8577
- [30] Nosé S 1984 *Molecular Physics* **52** 255
- [31] Hoover W G 1985 *Phys. Rev. A* **31** 1695
- [32] Parrinello M and Rahman A 1981 *J. Appl. Phys.* **52** 7182

Chinese Physics B

Volume 30

Number 2

February 2021

TOPICAL REVIEW — Quantum computation and quantum simulation

- 020305** **A concise review of Rydberg atom based quantum computation and quantum simulation**
Xiaoling Wu, Xinhui Liang, Yaoqi Tian, Fan Yang, Cheng Chen, Yong-Chun Liu, Meng Khoon Tey and Li You
- 020306** **Selected topics of quantum computing for nuclear physics**
Dan-Bo Zhang, Hongxi Xing, Hui Yan, Enke Wang and Shi-Liang Zhu
- 020307** **Review of quantum simulation based on Rydberg many-body system**
Zheng-Yuan Zhang, Dong-Sheng Ding and Bao-Sen Shi
- 020702** **Low-temperature environments for quantum computation and quantum simulation**
Hailong Fu, Pengjie Wang, Zhenhai Hu, Yifan Li and Xi Lin

SPECIAL TOPIC — Quantum computation and quantum simulation

- 020308** **Quantum algorithm for a set of quantum 2SAT problems**
Yanglin Hu, Zhelun Zhang and Biao Wu
- 020506** **Quantum dynamics on a lossy non-Hermitian lattice**
Li Wang, Qing Liu and Yunbo Zhang
- 023201** **Dissipative preparation of multipartite Greenberger–Horne–Zeilinger states of Rydberg atoms**
Chong Yang, Dong-Xiao Li and Xiao-Qiang Shao
- 024212** **Phase-sensitive Landau–Zener–Stückelberg interference in superconducting quantum circuit**
Zhi-Xuan Yang, Yi-Meng Zhang, Yu-Xuan Zhou, Li-Bo Zhang, Fei Yan, Song Liu, Yuan Xu and Jian Li
- 026402** **Quench dynamics in 1D model with 3rd-nearest-neighbor hoppings**
Shuai Yue, Xiang-Fa Zhou and Zheng-Wei Zhou
- 026701** **Cluster mean-field study of spinor Bose–Hubbard ladder: Ground-state phase diagram and many-body population dynamics**
Li Zhang, Wenjie Liu, Jiahao Huang and Chaohong Lee

TOPICAL REVIEW — Modeling and simulations for the structures and functions of proteins and nucleic acids

- 028705** **Statistical potentials for 3D structure evaluation: From proteins to RNAs**
Ya-Lan Tan, Chen-Jie Feng, Xunxun Wang, Wenbing Zhang and Zhi-Jie Tan

(Continued on the Bookbinding Inside Back Cover)

SPECIAL TOPIC — Modeling and simulations for the structures and functions of proteins and nucleic acids

028703 Folding nucleus and unfolding dynamics of protein 2GB1

Xuefeng Wei and Yanting Wang

REVIEW

028507 Negative photoconductivity in low-dimensional materials

Boyao Cui, Yanhui Xing, Jun Han, Weiming Lv, Wenxing Lv, Ting Lei, Yao Zhang, Haixin Ma, Zhongming Zeng and Baoshun Zhang

RAPID COMMUNICATION

027401 Superconducting anisotropy and vortex pinning in $\text{CaKFe}_4\text{As}_4$ and $\text{KCa}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{As}_4\text{F}_2$

A B Yu, Z Huang, C Zhang, Y F Wu, T Wang, T Xie, C Liu, H Li, W Peng, H Q Luo, G Mu, H Xiao, L X You and T Hu

027505 Evolution of domain structure in Fe_3GeTe_2

Siqi Yin, Le Zhao, Cheng Song, Yuan Huang, Youdi Gu, Ruyi Chen, Wenxuan Zhu, Yiming Sun, Wanjun Jiang, Xiaozhong Zhang and Feng Pan

027803 Modulation of the second-harmonic generation in MoS_2 by graphene covering

Chunchun Wu, Nianze Shang, Zixun Zhao, Zhihong Zhang, Jing Liang, Chang Liu, Yonggang Zuo, Mingchao Ding, Jinhuan Wang, Hao Hong, Jie Xiong and Kaihui Liu

028102 Molecular beam epitaxy growth of iodide thin films

Xinqiang Cai, Zhilin Xu, Shuai-Hua Ji, Na Li and Xi Chen

GENERAL

020201 Novel traveling wave solutions and stability analysis of perturbed Kaup–Newell Schrödinger dynamical model and its applications

Xiaoyong Qian, Dianchen Lu, Muhammad Arshad and Khurram Shehzad

020202 A local refinement purely meshless scheme for time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation in irregular geometry region

Tao Jiang, Rong-Rong Jiang, Jin-Jing Huang, Jiu Ding and Jin-Lian Ren

020301 Coherent-driving-assisted quantum speedup in Markovian channels

Xiang Lu, Ying-Jie Zhang and Yun-Jie Xia

020302 Quantifying entanglement in terms of an operational way

Deng-Hui Yu and Chang-Shui Yu

020303 Tunable ponderomotive squeezing in an optomechanical system with two coupled resonators

Qin Wu

020304 State transfer on two-fold Cayley trees via quantum walks

Xi-Ling Xue and Yue Ruan

020501 Analysis and implementation of new fractional-order multi-scroll hidden attractors

Li Cui, Wen-Hui Luo and Qing-Li Ou

- 020502 Investigation of bright and dark solitons in α , β -Fermi Pasta Ulam lattice**
Nkeh Oma Nfor, Serge Bruno Yamgoué and Francois Marie Moukam Kakmeni
- 020503 Breather solutions of modified Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation**
G T Adamashvili
- 020504 Dynamic phase transition of ferroelectric nanotube described by a spin-1/2 transverse Ising model**
Chundong Wang, Ying Wu, Yulin Cao and Xinying Xue
- 020505 A multi-directional controllable multi-scroll conservative chaos generator: Modelling, analysis, and FPGA implementation**
En-Zeng Dong, Rong-Hao Li and Sheng-Zhi Du
- 020507 Design and FPGA implementation of multi-wing chaotic switched systems based on a quadratic transformation**
Qing-Yu Shi, Xia Huang, Fang Yuan and Yu-Xia Li
- 020701 *In-situ* fabrication of ZnO nanoparticles sensors based on gas-sensing electrode for ppb-level H₂S detection at room temperature**
Jing-Yue Xuan, Guo-Dong Zhao, Xiao-Bo Shi, Wei Geng, Heng-Zheng Li, Mei-Ling Sun, Fu-Chao Jia, Shu-Gang Tan, Guang-Chao Yin and Bo Liu

ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR PHYSICS

- 023101 Complex coordinate rotation method based on gradient optimization**
Zhi-Da Bai, Zhen-Xiang Zhong, Zong-Chao Yan and Ting-Yun Shi
- 023401 Evidence of potential change in nonsequential double ionization**
Changchun Jia, Pu Zhang, Hua Wen and Zhangjin Chen
- 023701 Ground state cooling of an optomechanical resonator with double quantum interference processes**
Shuo Zhang, Tan Li, Qian-Hen Duan, Jian-Qi Zhang and Wan-Su Bao

ELECTROMAGNETISM, OPTICS, ACOUSTICS, HEAT TRANSFER, CLASSICAL MECHANICS, AND FLUID DYNAMICS

- 024201 A fast and precise three-dimensional measurement system based on multiple parallel line lasers**
Yao Wang and Bin Lin
- 024202 Propagation properties and radiation force of circular Airy Gaussian vortex beams in strongly nonlocal nonlinear medium**
Xinyu Liu, Chao Sun and Dongmei Deng
- 024203 Effects of initial electronic state on vortex patterns in counter-rotating circularly polarized attosecond pulses**
Qi Zhen, Jia-He Chen, Si-Qi Zhang, Zhi-Jie Yang and Xue-Shen Liu
- 024204 Ground-state cooling based on a three-cavity optomechanical system in the unresolved-sideband regime**
Jing Wang

- 024205 Dynamic measurement of beam divergence angle of different fields of view of scanning lidar**
Qing-Yan Li, Shi-Yu Yan, Bin Zhang and Chun-Hui Wang
- 024206 Polarization-independent silicon photonic grating coupler for large spatial light spots**
Lijun Yang, Xiaoyan Hu, Bin Li and Jing Cao
- 024207 A novel plasmonic refractive index sensor based on gold/silicon complementary grating structure**
Xiangxian Wang, Jiankai Zhu, Yueqi Xu, Yunping Qi, Liping Zhang, Hua Yang and Zao Yi
- 024208 Broadband absorption enhancement with ultrathin MoS₂ film in the visible regime**
Jun Wu
- 024209 Theoretical research on terahertz wave generation from planar waveguide by optimized cascaded difference frequency generation**
Zhongyang Li, Jia Zhao, Wenkai Liu, Qingfeng Hu, Yongjun Li, Binzhe Jiao, Pibin Bing, Hongtao Zhang, Lian Tan and Jianquan Yao
- 024210 Analysis of dark soliton generation in the microcavity with mode-interaction**
Xin Xu, Xueying Jin, Jie Cheng, Haoran Gao, Yang Lu and Liandong Yu
- 024211 Efficient manipulation of terahertz waves by multi-bit coding metasurfaces and further applications of such metasurfaces**
Yunping Qi, Baohe Zhang, Jinghui Ding, Ting Zhang, Xiangxian Wang and Zao Yi
- 024301 Theoretical analysis and numerical simulation of acoustic waves in gas hydrate-bearing sediments**
Lin Liu, Xiu-Mei Zhang and Xiu-Ming Wang
- 024302 Radiation force and torque on a two-dimensional circular cross-section of a non-viscous eccentric layered compressible cylinder in acoustical standing waves**
F G Mitri
- 024701 Effect of non-condensable gas on a collapsing cavitation bubble near solid wall investigated by multicomponent thermal MRT-LBM**
Yu Yang, Ming-Lei Shan, Qing-Bang Han and Xue-Fen Kan
- PHYSICS OF GASES, PLASMAS, AND ELECTRIC DISCHARGES**
- 025201 Analysis of asymmetry of the D_α emission spectra under the Zeeman effect in boundary region for D–D experiment on EAST tokamak**
Wei Gao, Juan Huang, Jianxun Su, Jing Fu, Yingjie Chen, Wei Gao, Zhenwei Wu and EAST Team
- CONDENSED MATTER: STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND THERMAL PROPERTIES**
- 026101 CdS/Si nanofilm heterojunctions based on amorphous silicon films: Fabrication, structures, and electrical properties**
Yong Li, Peng-Fei Ji, Yue-Li Song, Feng-Qun Zhou, Hong-Chun Huang and Shu-Qing Yuan

026102 Understanding defect production in an hcp Zr crystal upon irradiation: An energy landscape perspective

Jiting Tian

026103 Characterization, spectroscopic investigation of defects by positron annihilation, and possible application of synthesized PbO nanoparticles

Sk Irsad Ali, Anjan Das, Apoorva Agrawal, Shubharaj Mukherjee, Maudud Ahmed, P M G Nambissan, Samiran Mandal and Atis Chandra Mandal

026104 Space symmetry of effective physical constants for biaxial crystals

Fuan Liu, Zeliang Gao, XinYin and Xutang Tao

026201 Mechanically tunable broadband terahertz modulator based on high-aligned Ni nanowire arrays

Wenfeng Xiang, Xuan Liu, Xiaowei Huang, Qingli Zhou, Haizhong Guo and Songqing Zhao

026301 First-principles study of the co-effect of carbon doping and oxygen vacancies in ZnO photocatalyst

Jia Shi, Lei Wang and Qiang Gu

026401 Internal friction behavior of $Zr_{59}Fe_{18}Al_{10}Ni_{10}Nb_3$ metallic glass under different aging temperatures

Israa Faisal Ghazi, Israa Meften Hashim, Aravindhan Surendar, Nalbiy Salikhovich Tuguz, Aseel M. Aljeboree, Ayad F. Alkaim and Nisith Geetha

026601 First-principles study of co-adsorption behavior of O_2 and CO_2 molecules on δ -Pu(100) surface

Chun-Bao Qi, Tao Wang, Ru-Song Li, Jin-Tao Wang, Ming-Ao Qin and Si-Hao Tao

026801 Atomistic simulations on adhesive contact of single crystal Cu and wear behavior of Cu-Zn alloy

You-Jun Ye, Le Qin, Jing Li, Lin Liu and Ling-Kang Wu

CONDENSED MATTER: ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE, ELECTRICAL, MAGNETIC, AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

027101 Strain and interfacial engineering to accelerate hydrogen evolution reaction of two-dimensional phosphorus carbide

Tao Huang, Yuan Si, Hong-Yu Wu, Li-Xin Xia, Yu Lan, Wei-Qing Huang, Wang-Yu Hu and Gui-Fang Huang

027301 Modeling, simulations, and optimizations of gallium oxide on gallium-nitride Schottky barrier diodes

Tao Fang, Ling-Qi Li, Guang-Rui Xia and Hong-Yu Yu

027302 Novel fast-switching LIGBT with P-buried layer and partial SOI

Haoran Wang, Baoxing Duan, Licheng Sun and Yintang Yang

027303 Analysis of the decrease of two-dimensional electron gas concentration in GaN-based HEMT caused by proton irradiation

Jin-Jin Tang, Gui-Peng Liu, Jia-Yu Song, Gui-Juan Zhao and Jian-Hong Yang

- 027304 Characterization of low-resistance ohmic contacts to heavily carbon-doped n-type In-GaAsBi films treated by rapid thermal annealing**
Shu-Xing Zhou, Li-Kun Ai, Ming Qi, An-Huai Xu, Jia-Sheng Yan, Shu-Sen Li and Zhi Jin
- 027305 A first-principles study on zigzag phosphorene nanoribbons terminated by transition metal atoms**
Shuai Yang, Zhiyong Wang, Xueqiong Dai, Jianrong Xiao and Mengqiu Long
- 027501 Field-induced Néel vector bi-reorientation of a ferrimagnetic insulator in the vicinity of compensation temperature**
Peng Wang, Hui Zhao, Zhongzhi Luan, Siyu Xia, Tao Feng and Lifan Zhou
- 027502 Close-coupled nozzle atomization integral simulation and powder preparation using vacuum induction gas atomization technology**
Peng Wang, Jing Li, Xin Wang, Heng-San Liu, Bin Fan, Ping Gan, Rui-Feng Guo, Xue-Yuan Ge and Miao-Hui Wang
- 027503 Coercivity and microstructure of sintered Nd-Fe-B magnets diffused with Pr-Co, Pr-Al, and Pr-Co-Al alloys**
Lei Jin, Zhe-Huan Jin, Jin-Hao Zhu, Guang-Fei Ding, Bo Zheng, Shuai Guo, Ren-Jie Chen, A-Ru Yan and Xin-Cai Liu
- 027504 High-frequency magnetic properties and core loss of carbonyl iron composites with easy plane-like structures**
Guo-Wu Wang, Chun-Sheng Guo, Liang Qiao, Tao Wang and Fa-Shen Li
- 027701 Sr-doping effects on conductivity, charge transport, and ferroelectricity of Ba_{0.7}La_{0.3}TiO₃ epitaxial thin films**
Qiang Li, Dao Wang, Yan Zhang, Yu-Shan Li, Ai-Hua Zhang, Rui-Qiang Tao, Zhen Fan, Min Zeng, Guo-Fu Zhou, Xu-Bing Lu and Jun-Ming Liu
- 027801 Effect of spatially nonlocal versus local optical response of a gold nanorod on modification of the spontaneous emission**
Sha-Sha Wen, Meng Tian, Hong Yang, Su-Jun Xie, Xiao-Yun Wang, Yun Li, Jie Liu, Jin-Zhang Peng, Ke Deng, He-Ping Zhao and Yong-Gang Huang
- 027802 Investigation of fluorescence resonance energy transfer ultrafast dynamics in electrostatically repulsed and attracted exciton-plasmon systems**
Hong-Yu Tu, Ji-Chao Cheng, Gen-Cai Pan, Lu Han, Bin Duan, Hai-Yu Wang, Qi-Dai Chen, Shu-Ping Xu, Zhen-Wen Dai and Ling-Yun Pan
- INTERDISCIPLINARY PHYSICS AND RELATED AREAS OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**
- 028101 Influence of an inserted bar on the flow regimes in the hopper**
Yi Peng, Sheng Zhang, Mengke Wang, Guanghui Yang, Jiangfeng Wan, Liangwen Chen and Lei Yang
- 028103 Effects of heat transfer in a growing particle layer on microstructural evolution during solidification of colloidal suspensions**
Jia-Xue You, Yun-Han Zhang, Zhi-Jun Wang, Jin-Cheng Wang and Sheng-Zhong Liu

- 028301 Mechanism of titanium–nitride chemical mechanical polishing**
Dao-Huan Feng, Ruo-Bing Wang, Ao-Xue Xu, Fan Xu, Wei-Lei Wang, Wei-Li Liu and Zhi-Tang Song
- 028501 Snapback-free shorted anode LIGBT with controlled anode barrier and resistance**
Shun Li, Jin-Sha Zhang, Wei-Zhong Chen, Yao Huang, Li-Jun He and Yi Huang
- 028502 Performance analysis of GaN-based high-electron-mobility transistors with postpassivation plasma treatment**
Xing-Ye Zhou, Xin Tan, Yuan-Jie Lv, Guo-Dong Gu, Zhi-Rong Zhang, Yan-Min Guo, Zhi-Hong Feng and Shu-Jun Cai
- 028503 Lateral depletion-mode 4H-SiC n-channel junction field-effect transistors operational at 400 °C**
Si-Cheng Liu, Xiao-Yan Tang, Qing-Wen Song, Hao Yuan, Yi-Meng Zhang, Yi-Men Zhang and Yu-Ming Zhang
- 028504 Growth of high quality InSb thin films on GaAs substrates by molecular beam epitaxy method with AlInSb/GaSb as compound buffer layers**
Yong Li, Xiao-Ming Li, Rui-Ting Hao, Jie Guo, Yu Zhuang, Su-Ning Cui, Guo-Shuai Wei, Xiao-Le Ma, Guo-Wei Wang, Ying-Qiang Xu, Zhi-Chuan Niu and Yao Wang
- 028505 Microstructure, optical, and photoluminescence properties of β -Ga₂O₃ films prepared by pulsed laser deposition under different oxygen partial pressures**
Rui-Rui Cui, Jun Zhang, Zi-Jiang Luo, Xiang Guo, Zhao Ding and Chao-Yong Deng
- 028506 RF magnetron sputtering induced the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy modification in Pt/Co based multilayers**
Runze Li, Yucai Li, Yu Sheng and Kaiyou Wang
- 028701 An electromagnetic view of relay time in propagation of neural signals**
Jing-Jing Xu, San-Jin Xu, Fan Wang and Sheng-Yong Xu
- 028702 Quantitative coherence analysis of dual phase grating x-ray interferometry with source grating**
Zhi-Li Wang, Rui-Cheng Zhou, Li-Ming Zhao, Kun Ren, Wen Xu, Bo Liu and Heng Chen
- 028704 Identification of denatured and normal biological tissues based on compressed sensing and refined composite multi-scale fuzzy entropy during high intensity focused ultrasound treatment**
Shang-Qu Yan, Han Zhang, Bei Liu, Hao Tang and Sheng-You Qian

JUST FOR AUTHORS
— CHINESE PHYSICS B